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#### Abstract

In this paper we discuss scattering problems inherent in curved microstrip structures mounted on thin dielectric structures. We provide approximate boundary conditions for such structures in the framework of integral equations.
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## 1 Introduction

The solution of the scattering problem of a plane wave by a metallic target coated by a thin dielectric substrate requires to solve a system of integral equations coupling the solution in the gain and the surrounding medium. Then, the resulting method is both time and memory consuming. Moreover, since the scale of the spatial change in electromagnetic fields in the direction of the thickness of such a thin layer is considerably different from that in the transverse directions, such a direct formulation suffers from numerical instabilities if the dielectric layer is too thin. An alternative to this approach consists in approximately simulating the interior propagation phenomenon by the way of a boundary conditions, the so-called approximate boundary conditions, set on the surface of the obstacle and next to solve the associated scattering problem. See $[4,12,10,3]$.

In this paper we discuss scattering problems inherent in curved microstrip structures mounted on thin dielectric layers. These structures are widely used in printed-circuit technology, microwave integrated circuits, and the antenna industry $[9,14,7,11]$. It has been difficult to analyze electromagnetic fields around such structures. Indeed, the classical approximate boundary conditions do not provide an accurate approximation of the electromagnetic fields due to the fact that the presence of the microstrip patch causes a change in the relation between the electromagnetic fields at the dielectric interface.

[^0]This paper extends the concept of approximate boundary conditions to microstrip structures and gives a detailed mathematical derivation of an approximate boundary condition for a microstrip patch in the framework of integral equations.

## 2 Problem formulation

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with a connected $\mathcal{C}^{2, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, boundary. For $h>0$, let $\Omega_{d}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)<h\right\}$ and $\Omega_{e}:=\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \overline{\left(\Omega \cup \Omega_{d}\right)}$. We assume that the outer part of $\partial \Omega_{d}$ consists of two disjoint parts $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{e}$ so that $\partial \Omega_{d}=\Gamma \cup \Gamma_{e} \cup \partial \Omega$. Put $\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{x \in \partial \Omega: x+h \nu_{x} \in \Gamma\right\}$, where $\nu$ denotes the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$. The domain $\Omega_{d}$ represents the thin dielectric structure while $\Gamma$ represents the antenna patch mounted on it.

The profiles of electric permeability and permittivity are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{h}(x) & = \begin{cases}\mu_{d}, & x \in \Omega_{d} \\
\mu_{e}, & x \in \Omega_{e}\end{cases} \\
\epsilon_{h}(x) & = \begin{cases}\epsilon_{d}, & x \in \Omega_{d} \\
\epsilon_{e}, & x \in \Omega_{e}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{d}, \mu_{e}, \epsilon_{d}$ and $\epsilon_{e}$ are positive constants. If we allow the degenerate case $h=0$, then the functions $\mu_{h}(x)$ and $\epsilon_{h}(x)$ are equal to the constants $\mu_{e}$ and $\epsilon_{e}$.

Let $k_{d}:=\omega \sqrt{\mu_{d} \epsilon_{d}}$ and define $k_{e}$ likewise. For a given incident wave $E_{i}$, let $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ denote the solution to the scattering problem

$$
\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_{h}} \nabla E_{h}^{\Gamma}(x)+\omega^{2} \epsilon_{h} E_{h}^{\Gamma}(x)=0, \quad x \in \Omega_{e} \cup \Omega_{d}
$$

with the radiation condition

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i}\right)(x)}{\partial|x|}-i \omega k_{e}\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i}\right)(x)\right)=0
$$

and the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}^{\Gamma}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \cup \Gamma \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the scattering problem in the presence of the patch can be written as

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) E_{h}^{\Gamma}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{e},  \tag{2.2}\\ \left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) E_{h}^{\Gamma}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{d}, \\ E_{h}^{\Gamma}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \cup \partial \Omega, \\ \left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{+}=\left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e}, \\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \Gamma_{e}, \\ \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i}\right)(x)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e}\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i}\right)(x)\right)=0 . & \end{cases}
$$

Here and throughout this paper, $\left.E\right|_{+}$and $\left.E\right|_{-}$denote the limits from inside and outside the given domain, respectively.

Let $E_{h}$ be the solution without the patch, that is, the boundary condition (2.1) is replaced with $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then $E_{h}$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) E_{h}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{e}  \tag{2.3}\\ \left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) E_{h}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{d} \\ E_{h}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\ \left.E_{h}\right|_{+}=\left.E_{h}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{h}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial E_{h}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial\left(E_{h}-E_{i}\right)(x)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e}\left(E_{h}-E_{i}\right)(x)\right)=0 & \end{cases}
$$

The main objective of this paper is to present a schematic way based on a boundary integral method to derive the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansions of $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ as $h$ goes to zero. Because of the changes in the electromagnetic fields around the microstrip patch, $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ can not be approximated inside the thin layer by a linear function in the normal direction. This causes the most serious difficulty in deriving approximate boundary conditions for a patch antenna mounted on a thin dielectric layer.

## 3 Asymptotic formula for the solution without patch

We start with deriving an asymptotic expansion of $E_{h}$ as $h$ goes to zero.
Let $\Phi_{e}$ be the fundamental solution for the Helmholtz operator $\Delta+k_{e}^{2}$, that is,

$$
\Phi_{e}(x, y)=-\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}|x-y|\right)
$$

where $H_{0}^{(1)}$ is the Hankel function of the first kind of order 0 , and let $\Phi_{d}$ be the one for $\Delta+k_{d}^{2}$.

For a bounded smooth domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $\mathcal{S}_{D}^{e}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{D}^{e}$ be the single and double layer potential defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{D}^{e} \varphi(x) & =\int_{\partial D} \Phi_{e}(x, y) \varphi(y) d \sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\mathcal{D}_{D}^{e} \varphi(x) & =\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} \varphi(y) d \sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \partial D
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{D}^{e} \varphi}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right|_{ \pm}(x) & =\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} I+\left(\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e}\right)^{*}\right) \varphi(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \partial D \\
\left.\mathcal{D}_{D}^{e} \varphi\right|_{ \pm}(x) & =\left(\mp \frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e}\right) \varphi(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \partial D
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e}: L^{2}(\partial D) \rightarrow L^{2}(\partial D)$ is the operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e} \varphi(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} \varphi(y) d \sigma(y)
$$

and $\left(\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e}\right)^{*}$ is the $L^{2}$-adjoint of $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e}$ and is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}_{D}^{e} \varphi(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}} \varphi(y) d \sigma(y)
$$

Those operators with the superscripts $e$ replaced with $d$ denote the corresponding layer potentials defined with $\Phi_{d}$.

Let the space $H^{1}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$ be the set of functions $f \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$ such that $\partial f / \partial \tau \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$, where $\partial / \partial \tau$ denotes the tangential derivative on $\partial \Omega_{e}$. The following lemma is essentially from [1].
Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $k_{d}^{2}$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\Omega$ and $k_{e}^{2}$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{e}$. For each $(F, G) \in H^{1}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$, there exists a unique solution $\left(f, g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ to the integral equation

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} g_{2}=F & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{e}  \tag{3.1}\\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}-\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} g_{2}}{\partial \nu}=G & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} g_{2}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C$ independent of $F$ and $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}+\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}+\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C\left(\|F\|_{H^{1}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}+\|G\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We sketch a proof of this lemma. Since $k_{d}^{2}$ is not eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\Omega, \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}$ has an inverse $\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\right)^{-1}: H^{1}(\partial \Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$. It follows from the third condition in (3.1) that

$$
g_{2}=-\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\right)^{-1}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}\right)\right|_{\partial \Omega}\right) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

Let $X:=L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$ and $Y:=H^{1}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$, and define the operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(f, g_{1}\right):= & \left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\right)^{-1}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}\right)\right|_{\partial \Omega}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}+\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\right)^{-1}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}\right)\right|_{\partial \Omega}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (3.1) can be rewritten as $T\left(f, g_{1}\right)=(F, G)$. We also introduce $T_{0}: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$
T_{0}\left(f, g_{1}\right):=\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1},\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}\right)
$$

We see that $T-T_{0}$ is a compact operator form $X$ into $Y$. It is proved in [1] that $T_{0}$ is invertible provided that $k_{e}^{2}$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{e}$. Thus by the

Fredholm alternative, it is enough to prove that $T$ is injective. Suppose that $T\left(f, g_{1}\right)=0$. Then the function $u$ defined by

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} f(x) & \text { for } x \in \Omega_{e} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} g_{1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{2}}^{d} g_{2}(x) & \text { for } x \in \Omega_{d}\end{cases}
$$

is the unique solution of the transmission problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{e} \\ \left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{d} \\ \left.u\right|_{+}=\left.u\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with the radiation condition

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e} u(x)\right)=0
$$

By the uniqueness of a solution to the interface problem for the Helmholtz equation, we conclude that $f=g_{1}=0$ and hence $g_{2}=0$. The estimate (3.2) is a consequence of solvability and the closed graph theorem. This completes the proof.

In the next lemma we give a representation of the solution of (2.3). From now on, we assume that $k_{d}^{2}$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\Omega$ and $k_{e}^{2}$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{e}$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\left(\varphi, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ be the unique solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \varphi-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}=-E_{i} & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{e}  \tag{3.3}\\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \varphi\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}-\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}\right)}{\partial \nu}=-\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu} & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then the solution $E_{h}$ to (2.3) can be represented as

$$
E_{h}(x)= \begin{cases}E_{i}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega_{e}  \tag{3.4}\\ \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}(x), & x \in \Omega_{d}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Note that $E_{h}$ defined by (3.4) satisfies the differential equations and the transmission conditions on $\partial \Omega_{e}$ given in (2.3). The uniqueness of a solution to (2.3) proves the claim.

The following lemma is essentially from [12].

Lemma 3.3 For $h>0$ small enough and for any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\partial \Omega)$, the following expansions hold uniformly in $x \in \partial \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right) & =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi(x)+\left.h \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right|_{+}(x)+O\left(h^{2}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi}{\partial \nu_{x}}\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right) & =\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right|_{+}(x)+O(h) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ and $O(h)$ terms depend on $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1}(\partial \Omega)}$. Moreover, if $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)$, then the following expansions hold uniformly in $x \in \partial \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \phi\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right) & =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\phi}(x)+h\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*} \hat{\phi}(x)+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\phi}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e}(\rho \hat{\phi})(x)\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right),  \tag{3.7}\\
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \phi(x) & =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\phi}(x)+h\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right) \hat{\phi}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e}(\rho \hat{\phi})(x)\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right), \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\phi}(x):=\phi\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right), x \in \partial \Omega$, and $\rho(x)$ is the curvature at the point $x \in \partial \Omega$. The $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ terms depends on $\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}$. The same formulae hold for $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \phi$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \phi$.

Proof. Since $\partial \Omega$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2, \alpha}, \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \phi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{e}\right)$ if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\partial \Omega)$. Thus (3.5) and (3.6) are simply Taylor expansions.

To derive (3.7), we note that from [5] it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{e}\left(x+h \nu_{x}, y+h \nu_{y}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{4} H_{1}^{0}\left(k_{e}\left|(x-y)+h\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|(x-y)+h\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)\right|+\tau_{e} \\
& \quad+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{m} \log k_{e}\left|x-y+h\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)\right|+c_{m}\right]\left[k_{e}\left|(x-y)+h\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)\right|\right]^{2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $\tau_{e}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log k_{e}+\gamma-\frac{i}{4}$ and $\gamma$ is the Euler constant. Using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1+r)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-r)^{n}}{n} \quad(|r|<1) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{e}\left(x+h \nu_{x}, y+h \nu_{y}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \log |x-y|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \log \left[1+h \frac{2(x-y) \cdot\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)+h\left|\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}}\right]+\tau_{e} \\
& + \\
& \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{m} \log k_{e}+c_{m}+\frac{b_{m}}{2} \log |x-y|^{2}+\frac{b_{m}}{2} \log \left(1+h \frac{2(x-y) \cdot\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)+h\left|\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \times\left(k_{e}\right)^{2 m} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\left[\frac{m!}{\ell!(m-\ell)!}|x-y|^{2 \ell}\left(2 h(x-y) \cdot\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)+h^{2}\left|\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right|^{2}\right)^{m-\ell}\right] \\
& =\Phi_{e}(x, y)+\frac{h}{2 \pi} \frac{\left\langle x-y, \nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right\rangle}{|x-y|^{2}} \\
& \quad+h \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{m} \log k_{e}|x-y|+c_{m}\right)\left(k_{e}\right)^{2 m} 2 m|x-y|^{2 m-2}(x-y) \cdot\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right) \\
& \quad+h \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{m} \frac{(x-y) \cdot\left(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\right)}{|x-y|^{2}} k_{e}^{2 m}|x-y|^{2 m}\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right) \\
& = \\
& \Phi_{e}(x, y)+h \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}+h \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}}+O\left(h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d \sigma_{e}$ denotes the surface measure on $\partial \Omega_{e}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma_{e}\left(y+h \nu_{y}\right)=(1+h \rho(y)) d \sigma(y)+O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad y \in \partial \Omega \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as was shown in [2]. Thus we obtain (3.7).
To obtain (3.8), we use duality. It follows from (3.5) and (3.10) that for any $f \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \phi(x) f(x) d \sigma \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \phi(\tilde{y}) \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} f(\tilde{y}) d \sigma(\tilde{y}) \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega} \phi\left(y+h \nu_{y}\right)\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} f\right)\left(y+h \nu_{y}\right) d \sigma\left(y+h \nu_{y}\right) \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega} \hat{\phi}(y)\left[\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} f(y)+h\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}\right) f(x)\right](1+h \rho(y)) d \sigma(y)+O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get (3.8). This completes the proof.
We are now in position to derive from (3.2) the following asymptotic expansion. Let $\hat{f}(x):=f\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right)$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$ as before.
Lemma 3.4 Let $\left(\varphi, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right) \times L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ be the unique solution to (3.3). As $h \rightarrow 0$, the triple $\left(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\psi}_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ converges to $\left(\varphi_{0}, \psi_{1}^{0}, \psi_{2}^{0}\right)$ in $H^{1}(\partial \Omega)$ where $\left(\varphi_{0}, \psi_{1}^{0}, \psi_{2}^{0}\right)$ is the unique solution to the integral equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}=-E_{i}  \tag{3.11}\\
\psi_{1}^{0}+\psi_{2}^{0}=0, \\
\psi_{1}^{0}=-\frac{\mu_{d}}{2 \mu_{e}} \varphi_{0}-\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*, e} \varphi_{0}-\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.3 and taking the limit in (3.3) as $h \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\varphi}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}=-E_{i}  \tag{3.12}\\
\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\varphi}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=-\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \\
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\varphi_{0}, \psi_{1}^{0}$ and $\psi_{2}^{0}$ be the solutions satisfying above equations. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}$ is invertible, it follows from the third equation in (3.12) that

$$
\psi_{1}^{0}+\psi_{2}^{0}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

It also follows from the second equation in (3.12) that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{1}^{0}-\psi_{2}^{0}\right)=-\frac{\mu_{d}}{2 \mu_{e}} \varphi_{0}-\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*, e} \varphi_{0}-\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}
$$

Therefore the proof is completed.
Define $E_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}(x)=\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}(x)+E_{i}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $E_{0}$ is the solution to the following scattering problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) E_{0}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}  \tag{3.14}\\
E_{0}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial\left(E_{0}-E_{i}\right)(x)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e}\left(E_{0}-E_{i}\right)(x)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.5 Let $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{0}$ be as in the previous lemma, and define $\varphi^{1, h}$ by

$$
\varphi^{1, h}:=\frac{\hat{\varphi}-\varphi_{0}}{h} .
$$

Then as $h \rightarrow 0, \varphi^{1, h}$ converges to $\varphi_{1}$ in $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{1}(x)=\left(\frac{\mu_{d}}{2 \mu_{e}} I+\left(\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}}-1\right)\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}-\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \varphi_{0}+\left(\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}}-1\right) \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\rho}$ is the multiplication operator by $\rho$.
Proof. Subtracting the third equations in (3.3) and (3.12), we get, for $x \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}(x)-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{1}^{0}(x)\right]+\left[\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}(x)-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}^{0}(x)\right] \\
& =\left[\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}(x)-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}(x)\right]+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\hat{\psi}_{1}-\psi_{1}^{0}\right)(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\psi_{2}-\psi_{2}^{0}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we define $\left(\psi_{1}^{1, h}, \psi_{2}^{1, h}\right)=\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}_{1}-\psi_{1}^{0}}{h}, \frac{\psi_{2}-\psi_{2}^{0}}{h}\right)$ and divide above equation by $h$, then we get

$$
0=\frac{\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{d} \psi_{1}(x)-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}(x)}{h}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\psi_{1}^{1, h}+\psi_{2}^{1, h}\right)(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega
$$

Sending $h \rightarrow 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}^{1}+\psi_{2}^{1}:=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left(\psi_{1}^{1, h}+\psi_{2}^{1, h}\right)=-\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{d}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} M_{\rho}\right)\left(\psi_{1}^{0}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.3, the first equation in (3.3) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \hat{\varphi}+h\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \hat{\varphi}-\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \hat{\psi}_{1}-h\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \hat{\psi}_{1} \\
& -\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} \psi_{2}-h\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{d}\right) \psi_{2}=-E_{i}-h \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}+O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\partial \Omega$. By subtracting the above equation from the first equation in (3.12), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi^{1, h}= & \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d}\left(\psi_{1}^{1, h}+\psi_{2}^{1, h}\right)-\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \hat{\varphi}+\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \hat{\psi}_{1} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{d}\right) \psi_{2}-\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}+O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe from (3.16) that the right-hand side of above equation converges in $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$, as $h \rightarrow 0$, to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{d}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{d} M_{\rho}\right)\left(\psi_{1}^{0}\right)-\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \varphi_{0} \\
& +\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \psi_{1}^{0}+\left(\frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{d}\right) \psi_{2}^{0}-\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

It now follows from (3.11) that $\varphi^{1, h}$ converges to $\varphi_{1}$ in $H^{1}(\partial \Omega)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi_{1}$ satisfies (3.15). This completes the proof.

In view of the third equation in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{e}}^{e} \varphi\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right) & =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}(x)+h \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{1}(x)+h\left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}\right)^{*}+\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{e}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} M_{\rho}\right) \varphi_{0}(x)+o(h) \\
& =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}(x)+h\left[\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}\right)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}(x)+\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}(x)\right)-\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}(x)\right]+o(h) \\
& =\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{e} \varphi_{0}(x)+h\left[\left.\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}(x)-\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}(x)\right]+o(h),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in \partial \Omega$ and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right)=h \frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu}(x)+o(h) \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get the following theorem.
Theorem 3.6 Let $E_{0}$ be given by (3.13) and let $E_{1}$ be the solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) E_{1}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega} \\
E_{1}=\left(\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}}-1\right) \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial E_{1}(x)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e} E_{1}(x)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the following asymptotic expansion for $E_{h}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}(x)=E_{0}(x)+h E_{1}(x)+o(h), \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly in any bounded subset of $\Omega_{e}$.
We should emphasize the fact that the (first-order) asymptotic expansion derived in Theorem 3.6 is independent of the electric permittivity of the thin layer. The effect of the profile of the electric permittivity is of higher-order.

## 4 Representation for $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$

We begin this section by proving the following uniqueness result.
Lemma 4.1 Problem (2.2) has at most one solution in $H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$.
Proof. Let $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ be the solution of (2.2) corresponding to $E_{i} \equiv 0$. Then we see that $\left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{+}=\left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{-}=0$ on $\Gamma$. Applying Green's formula to a domain $B_{R}$ of radius $R$ containing $\Omega_{d}$, and using the transmission conditions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{d}} & \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{d}}\left|\nabla E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{d}\left|E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}\right)+\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{e}}\left|\nabla E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{e}\left|E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{d}}\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \Delta E_{h}^{\Gamma}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{d}\left|E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}\right)+\int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \Delta E_{h}^{\Gamma}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{e}\left|E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|^{2}\right)+\left.\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} \\
& =\left.\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}+\int_{\Gamma}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}\right) \\
& =\left.\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the imaginary part of both sides, we obtain

$$
0=\left.\operatorname{Im} \int_{\partial B_{R}} \overline{\overline{E_{h}^{\Gamma}}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}
$$

Using Rellich's lemma and the unique continuation principle, we arrive at $\left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{\Omega_{d}}=\left.E_{h}^{\Gamma}\right|_{\Omega_{e}}=$ 0 . This completes the proof.

Define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda: H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ by

$$
\Lambda(g)=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial B_{R}}
$$

where $u$ is the unique solution to the exterior Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz equation in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bar{B}_{R}$ with the Dirichlet boundary data $g$ on $\partial B_{R}$ which satisfies the radiation condition. The following result from [8] is of use to us.

Lemma 4.2 There exists an operator $\Lambda_{0}: H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\partial B_{R}} \bar{\varphi} \Lambda_{0} \varphi \leq 0
$$

and $\Lambda-\Lambda_{0}$ is a compact operator from $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$.
Using arguments similar to those in [6], we can obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 4.3 For any incident field $E_{i}$, there exists a unique solution $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ in $H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ to (2.2).

Proof. Let $H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right):=\left\{\varphi \in H^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right) \mid \varphi=0\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma \cup \partial \Omega\right\}$. We formulate the following variational problem which is equivalent to solving (2.2): Find $u \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{d}}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{\varphi} \cdot \nabla u}{\mu_{d}}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{d} \bar{\varphi} u\right)+\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{\varphi} \cdot \nabla u}{\mu_{e}}-\omega^{2} \epsilon_{e} \bar{\varphi} u\right) \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}} \Lambda\left(u+E_{i}\right)-\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any function $\varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}(u, \varphi) & :=\int_{\Omega_{d}}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{\varphi} \cdot \nabla u}{\mu_{d}}+\bar{\varphi} u\right)+\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(\frac{\nabla \bar{\varphi} \cdot \nabla u}{\mu_{e}}+\bar{\varphi} u\right)-\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}} \Lambda_{0}(u) \\
A_{2}(u, \varphi) & :=\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}}\left(\Lambda_{0}-\Lambda\right)(u)-\int_{\Omega_{d}}\left(\omega^{2} \epsilon_{d}+1\right) \bar{\varphi} u-\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(\omega^{2} \epsilon_{e}+1\right) \bar{\varphi} u
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
L(\varphi):=\int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\mu_{e}}\left(\Lambda\left(E_{i}\right)-\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial \nu}\right)
$$

for $u, \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$. The problem (2.2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i} \chi\left(\Omega_{e}\right), \varphi\right)+A_{2}\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{i} \chi\left(\Omega_{e}\right), \varphi\right)=L(\varphi) \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{1}(u, u)\right) & =\int_{\Omega_{d}}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{\mu_{d}}+|u|^{2}\right)+\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap B_{R}}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{\mu_{e}}+|u|^{2}\right)-\operatorname{Re} \int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\bar{u}}{\mu_{e}} \Lambda_{0}(u) \\
& \geq C_{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $\left|A_{1}(u, \varphi)\right| \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)}^{2}\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)}^{2}$. Thus, by the Lax-Milgram theorem and the Riesz Representation theorem, there is a bounded linear operator $T$ on $H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ having a bounded inverse such that $(T u, \varphi)=A_{1}(u, \varphi)$ for all $u, \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product on $H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$.

We define the operator $K$ on $H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ by

$$
(K u, \varphi)=A_{2}(u, \varphi), \quad \text { for all } u, \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)
$$

The compact embedding of $H^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ and the compactness of $\Lambda-\Lambda_{0}$ from Lemma 4.2 imply that the operator $K$ is compact.

In short, we have

$$
((T+K) u, \varphi)=A_{1}(u, \varphi)+A_{2}(u, \varphi), \quad \text { for } \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right),
$$

with $T$ invertible and $K$ compact. If $(T+K) u=0$, then

$$
A_{1}(u, \varphi)+A_{2}(u, \varphi)=0, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)
$$

and hence by Lemma, $4.1 u=0$. Using the Fredholm alternative, we have existence of a solution to (4.1). This completes the proof.

Let $G_{h}(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$, be the Green's function satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) G_{h}=\delta_{y} & \text { in } \Omega_{e}  \tag{4.2}\\ \left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) G_{h}=\delta_{y} & \text { in } \Omega_{d} \\ \left|\frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu}-i k_{e} G_{h}\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}}\right), & \\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}=\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \left.G_{h}\right|_{-}=\left.G_{h}\right|_{+} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ G_{h}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then we have the following representation for the solution of (2.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}^{\Gamma}(x)=E_{h}(x)+\int_{\Gamma} G_{h}(x, y) \psi_{\Gamma}(y) d \sigma_{y} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{\Gamma}$ is given by

$$
\psi_{\Gamma}(x):=\left.\frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{+}(x)-\left.\frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{-}(x) .
$$

Indeed, by the divergence theorem, we get for $x \in \Omega_{e}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mu_{e}}\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}(x)-E_{h}(x)\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{1}{\mu_{e}}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) G_{h}(x, y)\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{h}\right)(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{d}} \frac{1}{\mu_{d}}\left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) G_{h}(x, y)\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{h}\right)(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& =\left.\int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{-}(x, y)\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}(y)-E_{h}(y)\right)-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{+}(x, y)\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}(y)-E_{h}(y)\right) d \sigma(y) \\
& \quad+\left.\int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \frac{G_{h}(x, y)}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{h}\right)}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{+}(y)-\left.\frac{G_{h}(x, y)}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial\left(E_{h}^{\Gamma}-E_{h}\right)}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{-}(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma} G_{h}(x, y)\left(\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{+}(y)-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial E_{h}^{\Gamma}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\right|_{-}(y)\right) d \sigma(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now derive an asymptotic formula for $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$. Since $G_{h}(x, y)$ is the Green's function of (4.2), for any continuous function $f$ on $\partial \Omega$ the function $u$ defined by

$$
u(x):=\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{h}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} f(y) d \sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}
$$

is the solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{e} \\ \left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{d} \\ \left.u\right|_{+}=\left.u\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ \left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial \Omega_{e} \\ u=f & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with the radiation condition. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0} \in \partial \Omega} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{h}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} f(y) d \sigma(y)=f\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$. Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}(x)-E_{i}(x)=-\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{h}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} E_{i}(y) d \sigma(y) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G_{0}$ be the Green's function for $\Delta+k_{e}^{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Delta_{x}+k_{e}^{2}\right) G_{0}(x, y)=\delta_{y} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}  \tag{4.6}\\ G_{0}(x, y)=0 & x \in \partial \Omega, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\end{cases}
$$

together with the radiation condition. Note that (4.4) holds with $G_{h}$ replaced with $G_{0}$. Since $E_{0}$ is the solution to (3.14), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}(x)-E_{i}(x)=-\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{0}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} E_{i}(y) d \sigma(y) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from (3.18), (4.5), and (4.7) that

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{h}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} E_{i}(y) d \sigma(y)=\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{0}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} E_{i}(y) d \sigma(y)+O(h)
$$

Since this identity holds for any incidence field $E_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G_{h}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}}=\frac{\partial G_{0}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}}+O(h) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds uniformly for $x$ in a bounded subset of $\Omega_{e}$ and $y \in \partial \Omega$.
By Taylor expansion, we get, for $y \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{h}\left(x, y+h \nu_{y}\right)=G_{h}(x, y)+h \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu_{y}}(x, y)+o(h)=h \frac{\partial G_{h}}{\partial \nu_{y}}(x, y)+o(h) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from (4.8) that for $x \in \Omega_{e}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} G_{h}(x, y) \psi_{\Gamma}(y) d \sigma(y) & =\int_{\Gamma_{0}} G_{h}\left(x, y+h \nu_{y}\right) \psi_{\Gamma}\left(y+h \nu_{y}\right)(1+h \rho(y)) d \sigma(y) \\
& =h \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \frac{\partial G_{0}}{\partial \nu_{y}}(x, y) \widetilde{\psi}(y) d \sigma(y)+o(h),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}(x)=\psi\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{0} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=x_{0}+h \nu_{x_{0}}$ for some $x_{0} \in \Gamma_{0}$, then

$$
\frac{\partial G_{0}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\left(x_{0}+h \nu_{x_{0}}, y\right)=\frac{\partial G_{0}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\left(x_{0}, y\right)+O(h)
$$

and hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Gamma} G_{h}(x, y) \psi_{\Gamma}(y) d \sigma(y) & =h \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \frac{\partial G_{0}}{\partial \nu_{y}}\left(x_{0}, y\right) \widetilde{\psi}(y) d \sigma(y)+o(h) \\
& =h \widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{0}\right)+o(h) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality holds thanks to (4.4).
Note that by Theorem 3.6

$$
E_{h}\left(x+h \nu_{x}\right)=h \frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu}(x)+o(h), \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}
$$

It then follows from (4.11) and the condition on the patch

$$
\int_{\Gamma} G_{h}(x, y) \psi_{\Gamma}(y) d \sigma(y)=-E_{h} \quad \text { on } \Gamma
$$

that

$$
\widetilde{\psi}(x)=-\frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}
$$

We finally arrive at the following result.
Theorem 4.4 We have the following asymptotic formula for $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{h}^{\Gamma}(x)-E_{h}(x)=-h \frac{\mu_{d}}{\mu_{e}} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \frac{\partial G_{0}}{\partial \nu_{y}}(x, y) \frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial \nu}(y) d \sigma(y)+o(h) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds uniformly in any bounded subset of $\Omega_{e}$ for $h$ small enough.

## 5 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we perform numerical experiments to demonstrate the validity of the approximation formulae (3.18) and (4.12). We first provide an explicit form for $G_{h}$ defined by (4.2) when $\partial \Omega$ and $\partial \Omega_{e}$ are the disks centered at the origin with radius $r$ and $r+h$. If we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{e}(x, y)=\Phi_{e}(x, y)+H_{e}(x, y)=-\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}|x-y|\right)+H_{e}(x, y) \\
& G_{d}(x, y)=\Phi_{d}(x, y)+H_{d}(x, y)=-\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k_{d}|x-y|\right)+H_{d}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

then the functions $H_{e}$ and $H_{d}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\Delta+k_{e}^{2}\right) H_{e}(x, y)=0 & \text { for } x, y \in \Omega_{e} \\
\left(\Delta+k_{d}^{2}\right) H_{d}(x, y)=0 & \text { for } x, y \in \Omega_{d} \tag{5.2}
\end{array}
$$

In addition, by (4.2), $H_{e}$ and $H_{d}$ are subject to the transmission conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{e}(x, y)-H_{d}(x, y) & =\Phi_{d}(x, y)-\Phi_{e}(x, y) \quad \text { for } x, y \in \partial \Omega_{e}  \tag{5.3}\\
\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial H_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial H_{d}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}} & =\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{d}}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right|_{-}(x, y)-\left.\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right|_{+}(x, y) \quad \text { on } x, y \in \partial \Omega  \tag{5.4}\\
H_{d}(x, y) & =-\Phi_{d}(x, y) \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega_{d} \text { and } y \in \partial \Omega \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the radiation condition:

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{|x|}\left(\frac{\partial H_{e}(x, y)}{\partial|x|}-i k_{e} H_{e}(x, y)\right)=0
$$

Since $H_{e}$ is the solution of (5.1) satisfying the radiation condition, we have

$$
H_{e}(x, y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{e} H_{n}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}|x|\right) H_{n}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}|y|\right) \cos n\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right)
$$

for $x=|x| e^{i \theta_{x}}$ and $y=|y| e^{i \theta_{y}}$. In addition, because of (5.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{d}(x, y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}( & a_{n}^{d} J_{n}\left(k_{d}|x|\right) J_{n}\left(k_{d}|y|\right)+b_{n}^{d} J_{n}\left(k_{d}|x|\right) Y_{n}\left(k_{d}|y|\right) \\
& \left.\quad+b_{n}^{d} Y_{n}\left(k_{d}|x|\right) J_{n}\left(k_{d}|y|\right)+c_{n}^{d} Y_{n}\left(k_{d}|x|\right) Y_{n}\left(k_{d}|y|\right)\right) \cos n\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the functions $J_{n}(t)$ and $Y_{n}(t)$ are the spherical Bessel functions and the spherical Neumann functions of order $n$ satisfying

$$
t^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(t)+t f^{\prime}(t)+\left[t^{2}-n^{2}\right] f(t)=0
$$

We now find the complex constants $a_{n}^{e}, a_{n}^{d}, b_{n}^{d}, c_{n}^{d}$ for $n=0,1, \ldots$ Set $\ell(m)=1$ if $m \neq 0$ and $\ell(0)=2$. Using the condition (5.5) and the fact that for $x \in \Omega_{d}$ and $y \in \partial \Omega$

$$
H_{0}^{(1)}(k|x-y|)=H_{0}^{(1)}(k|x|) J_{0}(k|y|)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{0}^{(1)}(k|x|) J_{n}(k|y|) \cos n \theta
$$

we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m}^{d} & =-b_{m}^{d} \frac{Y_{0}\left(k_{d} r\right)}{J_{0}\left(k_{d} r\right)}+\frac{i}{2 \ell(m)},  \tag{5.6}\\
c_{m}^{d} & =-b_{m}^{d} \frac{J_{m}\left(k_{d} r\right)}{Y_{m}\left(k_{d} r\right)}-\frac{1}{2 \ell(m)} \frac{J_{m}\left(k_{d} r\right)}{Y_{m}\left(k_{d} r\right)}, \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m \geq 1$. Multiplying (5.3) and (5.4) by $\cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) /\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)$ for $m=0,1, \ldots$, and integrating over $\partial \Omega_{e}$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(H_{e}(x, y)-H_{d}(x, y)\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\Phi_{d}(x, y)-\Phi_{e}(x, y)\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial H_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial H_{d}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{d}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that, for $m=0,1, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m}^{e} & H_{m}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}(r+h)\right)^{2} \\
& -a_{m}^{d} J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)^{2}-2 b_{m}^{d} J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right) Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)-c_{m}^{d} Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2(r+h)^{2} \pi^{2} \ell(m)} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\Phi_{d}(x, y)-\Phi_{e}(x, y)\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y), \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m}^{e} \frac{H_{m}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}(r+h)\right)}{\mu_{e}}\left(\frac{m H_{m}^{(1)}\left(k_{e}(r+h)\right)}{r+h}-k_{e} H_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)\right) \\
& -a_{m}^{d} \frac{J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{\mu_{d}}\left(\frac{m J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{r+h}-k_{d} J_{m+1}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)\right) \\
& -b_{m}^{d}\left(\frac{J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{\mu_{d}}\left(\frac{m Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{r+h}-k_{d} Y_{m+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{\mu_{d}}\left(\frac{m J_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{r+h}-k_{d} J_{m+1}\right)\right) \\
& -c_{m}^{d} \frac{Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{\mu_{d}}\left(\frac{m Y_{m}\left(k_{d}(r+h)\right)}{r+h}-k_{d} Y_{m+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2(r+h)^{2} \pi^{2} \ell(m)} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}} \int_{\partial \Omega_{e}}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{d}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{d}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{e}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{e}(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{x}}\right) \cos m\left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{y}\right) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y) . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.6)-(5.9), we get the values of $a_{m}^{e}, a_{m}^{d}, b_{m}^{d}, c_{m}^{d}$ for $m=0,1, \ldots$
Finally, we illustrate our approximate boundary condition in the following numerical experiments. Our configuration involves two circular disks of radii 0.5 and 0.49 so that


Figure 1: The case without patch.
$h=0.01$. Corresponding dielectric permittivities $\epsilon_{e}$ and $\epsilon_{d}$ are equated to 2 and 6 and magnetic permeabilities $\mu_{e}$ and $\mu_{d}$ are equated to 4 and 3 . The frequency is fixed to $\omega=1$ and $E_{i}=e^{i k_{e} x \cdot d}$ with $d=(1,0)$.

We solve for the solutions $\tilde{E}_{h}$ and $\tilde{E}_{h}^{\Gamma}$ using our approximate boundary conditions, and $E_{h}$ and $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ using a boundary integral method. To accomplish this, we discretize the integral equations at the node points on $\partial \Omega$ and on $\partial \Omega_{e}$ given by

$$
\xi_{n}^{d}=r\left(\cos \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N}, \sin \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N}\right) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
$$

and

$$
\xi_{n}^{e}=(r+h)\left(\cos \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N}, \sin \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N}\right) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{e},
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots, N$, with $N=256$.
Example 1. In this example, we compare $E_{h}$ (computed using a boundary integral method) and $\tilde{E}_{h}$ (using the approximate boundary condition in Theorem 3.6) without patch. Figure 1 shows the numerical results. In the first diagram, the blue line is the real part of $E_{h}$ and the red line is the real part of $\tilde{E}_{h}$ on $\partial \Omega_{e}$. The second diagram shows the imaginary parts of $E_{h}$ and $\tilde{E}_{h}$ on $\partial \Omega_{e}$. The error computed in $L^{2}$ and $L^{\infty}$ are $\left\|E_{h}-\tilde{E}_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}=3.4091 e-004$ $\max \left|E_{h}-\tilde{E}_{h}\right|=7.1113-004$ which are of order $h^{2}$.
Example 2. In the second example we consider the case with patch. The configuration is the same as in Example 1. In the first diagram in Figure 2, the red-line represents the patch $\Gamma$. The mesh points on $\Gamma$ are given by

$$
\xi_{n}^{e, \Gamma}=(r+h)\left(\cos \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N_{\Gamma}}, \sin \frac{2 \pi(n-1)}{N_{\Gamma}}\right),
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots, N_{\Gamma}$, with $N_{\Gamma}=32$. The second and third diagrams express the difference between the real and imaginary parts of $E_{h}^{\Gamma}$ (the exact field which is computed by solving the integral equation (4.3)) and $\tilde{E}_{h}^{\Gamma}$ (solved using the approximate boundary condition in Theorem 4.4) on $\partial \Omega_{e}$. The error is $\left\|E_{h}-\tilde{E}_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{e}\right)}=3.9992 e-004$ and $\max \left|E_{h}-\tilde{E}_{h}\right|=$ 0.0021 . Relatively large errors occur at the end points of the patch.


Figure 2: The case with patch.
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